Design of a Percutaneous MRI-Guided Needle Robot With Soft Fluid-Driven Actuator
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Abstract—Percutaneous ablation is a standard therapy for most cases of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), which is a general type of primary liver cancer. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) offers high-contrast images of soft tissue to monitor the ablation procedure. However, the success of MRI-guided ablation still depends on precise intra-tumor probe placement and skin insertion positioning, both of which require highly experienced operators, and can induce inter-operator variability in ablation results. In this letter, we present a semi-automated robotic system for MRI-guided percutaneous needle procedures. The compact and lightweight design enables the direct fixture of robot on the patient body and simultaneous needle targeting at multiple locations with several robots. Accurate (0.89 ± 0.31 mm) needle navigation is achieved by incorporating soft fluid-driven actuators with feedback control and stiffness modulation capabilities. The 3D location of the needle guide is reconfirmed by wireless MR tracking coils. The performance of the robotic platform, such as stiffness, needle positioning accuracy and frequency response was experimentally evaluated. Negligible interference to MR imaging was also validated by an MR compatibility test.
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I. INTRODUCTION

PERCUTANEOUS procedures are undertaken for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes, typically performed by inserting a needle or probe through the skin of patient towards target anatomy. Applications range from biopsy, drainage, drug administration to tumor ablation, and are applicable to numerous parts of the body including breast and kidneys, with prominence in ablation for liver cancer.

As the sixth most common type of cancer, liver cancer is also one of the primary sources of cancer-related death globally [3]. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common form of primary liver cancer, with the first-line treatments by liver transplantation and resection for <20% cases [5]. For the remaining unresectable cases, percutaneous radiofrequency (RF) ablation [6] is considered as the standard local ablative therapy (Fig. 1a). During the procedure, an ablation needle (OD = Ø1.2-2.1 mm) is inserted through the skin into the target lesion, typically guided by ultrasound (US) (Fig. 1b), or computed tomography (CT). Multiple needle insertions may be required for completing ablation of large (> Ø3 cm) or multiple tumors. After the ablation, the completeness is typically assessed by post-operative CT or sonography. Residual tumors will be treated with further ablation or adjunct therapy. However, the procedure has difficulties in treating tumors abutting vessels or organs due to inaccurate ablation margins (<1 cm), which can result in high tumor recurrence (70% at 5 years [7]) or inadvertent organ damage.

To tackle these difficulties, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been investigated as an alternative to ultrasound and CT guidance, taking advantage of high soft-tissue contrast [8], and zero ionizing radiation (Fig. 1c). Precise, real-time temperature monitoring (<1 °C) can also be achieved by intra-operative
(intra-op) MRI to enable monitoring of ablation and its heat diffusion (Fig. 1d). Alternatively, laser ablation [9] provides the opportunity for zero interference with the MRI while simultaneously conducting ablation and MR thermometry. However, the success of MRI-guided ablation still depends on precise intra-tumor probe placement and skin insertion positioning for effective pull-back, both of which require highly experienced operators, and can induce inter-operator variability in ablation results [10].

In an effort to minimize variability in probe placement, passive needle holders have been developed, such as the commercialized products SeeStar (AprioMed, Uppsala, Sweden) and Simplify (NeoRad AS, Oslo, Norway) [11], [12]. Passive devices can assist the manual adjustment of needle orientation and retain a fixed angle for needle insertion. However, intensive manual adjustment by the surgeon is still needed to achieve precise needle placement. This requires the patient to be transferred in and out of the MRI scanner bore to perform adjustment, which will prolong the procedure time.

To this end, MR safe/conditional robot-assist percutaneous systems have been extensively investigated. A CE-marked commercial robotic system Innomotion (Innomedic Inc., Herxheim, Germany) was developed for MRI- and CT-guided needle placement [13]. It is a table-mounted system and features 5-degree-of-freedom (DoF) needle actuation driven by pneumatic cylinders. The system can achieve a mean targeting precision of <0.5 mm, and has been used for MRI-guided percutaneous interventions in 16 patients [14]. Researchers have also developed various prototypes of table/floor-mounted robotic systems for MRI-guided needle procedure, such as the 5-DoF instrument manipulator developed by Chinzei et al. [15] and the MR compatible needle guide robot actuated by pneumatic motors from Stoianovici et al. [16]. A robot developed by Franco et al. [17] for MRI-guided laser ablation of the liver underwent pilot studies on two patients. It made use of a gantry to secure the robot over the patients and provided a large workspace (up to 90% of the liver volume) for positioning the insertion point. Other examples include a concentric tube-based needle steering robot for neurosurgical ablation [18] and a leadscrew-based robotic system for breast biopsy that fits between a breast coil and the wall of the MRI bore and reaches the biopsy site by a 90° channel for a bendable needle [19].

In general, the table/floor-mounted systems can provide a fixed reference frame through the rigid structure, and hence achieve high-accuracy needle targeting. However, the bulky structure of the systems generally occupies a large footprint, which may require a specialized MRI body coil or scanner with larger clearance, as well as alteration of the surgical workflow. Moreover, the potential relative motion between the robot/needle and patient body due to respiration or accidental movement of the patient may pose a safety hazard.

Patient-mounted systems can ensure the safety with respect to the patient movement, as the system and needle can move together with the patient. The Light Puncture Robot (LPR) [20], [21] is a CT- and MRI-compatible system that can achieve needle positioning and insertion by pneumatic pistons. The system could achieve a large needle tip workspace (135 mm × 120 mm) above-skin and automatic needle insertion. However, as a result, the overall system footprint is large (368 mm × 270 mm × 127 mm). Monfaredi et al. [22] developed a patient-mounted robot (~Ø200 mm) with 4-DoF needle manipulation for MRI-guided arthrography of the pediatric shoulder. A robot for low back pain injections was developed by Li et al. [23] (219 mm × 250 mm × 87 mm), consisting of two stacked x-y table mechanisms that could be mounted directly on the patient.

Although many current systems are capable of accurate and automatic needle positioning, they are typically not designed for the simultaneous use of multiple needles, and due to their large footprint, cannot be deployed in multiples to overcome this limitation. For large (>03 cm) and/or multiple tumors, several ablations and insertions are often needed to sufficiently cover the tumor volume [24]. This would prolong the procedure if only one single-needle ablation can be conducted at each time of MRI-guidance. However, few researchers have developed systems in this respect. Wu et al. [25] presented a patient-mounted MR compatible robotic positioner small enough to be mounted on an MRI loop coil. The system was purpose-built for multiple needle insertions, although through a common entry point. This may prevent its application in cases where separate entry points are required. Additionally, in their presented prototype, the remote center of motion (RCM) is located 15 mm above the skin surface due to the system design limited by the motor size.

For MRI-guided percutaneous procedures that require multiple needle insertions, the overall procedure time could be reduced by avoiding the need for rescanning, repositioning, and inserting new needles for each target. To this end, we propose a small sized patient-mounted robotic system for MRI-guided percutaneous needle placement, which allows simultaneous needle targeting and insertion at multiple locations with several robots. The key contributions of our work are listed below:

1) Design of a patient-mounted robotic device for MRI-guided percutaneous procedures. The needle manipulator is semi-automated, with fine adjustment made by the robot after coarse initial placement by the surgeon. Visual feedback is provided to the surgeon during manual operation for clear, interactive operation;
2) The robot is lightweight (189 g) and compact (Ø108 mm × 115 mm height), allowing mounting on the patient and operation with standard loop coils under MRI, even for simultaneous needle targeting at multiple locations. Fine adjustment is performed by soft fluid-driven actuators to ensure minimal MR imaging artifacts. Granular jamming is adopted for the locking of the needle guide to prevent unwanted movement;
3) Experimental validation of the system is performed, evaluating actuator stiffness, positional targeting error, MR-safety, and needle guide tracking under MRI.

II. CLINICAL WORKFLOW WITH THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

The proposed workflow can be divided into four stages, namely preparation, planning, targeting, and intervention, which are shown in Fig. 2a. It is estimated to take 3 hours in total, with approximate time cost for each stage listed as: 1) Preparation in 20 minutes; 2) Planning in 72 minutes (26 minutes for each MR scan [26]); 3) Targeting in 56 minutes; 4) Intervention in 30 minutes [27]. The total procedure time is comparable to the MRI-guided radiofrequency ablation for hepatic malignancies, which takes 2–5 hours in general [8]. To treat multiple tumors, the proposed compact and lightweight robot design can offer a more efficient option using several robots simultaneously for targeting and intervention. Detailed descriptions of each stage are listed below:
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Stage 1. Preparation: According to pre-operative MRI images from early observation and diagnosis, a rough estimation of the target position should be found for the treatment or biopsy. The patient is also positioned on the MRI table in this stage.

Stage 2. Planning: The patient undergoes pre-interventional imaging to obtain a high-resolution 3D dataset of the region of interest. The needle insertion path, incision port and hence the robot position is determined by the surgeon based on this image set. The robot is then attached to the patient body accordingly by adhesive pads and a fastening belt. Robot registration is then performed with a second round of MR scans to localize the robot relative to the target.

Stage 3. Targeting: Targeting can be divided into three steps:
1) The orientation of the needle guide is adjusted manually by the surgeon following lighting instructions. When the error between the desired orientation and the actual orientation is greater than 20°, between 5° and 20° and less than 5°, the red light, purple light and the green light will be turned on respectively, which as shown in Fig. 2b. This step is so called coarse adjustment of the robot. 2) Manual locking will be applied by operating a switch on the outer shell of the robot. 3) The patient will be moved to the MRI bore for automatic needle guide positioning, which is fine adjustment, under real-time MR tracking. Afterwards, the needle guide is further locked by the granular jamming module inside the robot.

Stage 4. Intervventional procedures: The patient is moved out of the MRI bore for manual insertion of the needle by the surgeon. The allowable insertion depth is preset by a measured needle stop. The patient is then moved into the MRI bore for treatment/biopsy. Intra-op imaging can be performed based on the surgical requirement, e.g., heat diffusion monitoring for RF ablation of HCC.

III. METHODOLOGY

This section provides the mechanical design and kinematics model of our proposed robotic platform. The device is designed to assist the surgeon in performing intra-op MRI-guided percutaneous needle interventions, such as radiofrequency and laser ablation for the abdominal organs (e.g., liver and kidney).

A. Robot Design Criteria

For the robotic device, the design and clinical considerations are summarized as follows:
1) Dexterity: The necessary DoFs to achieve an RCM for single port intervention include needle pan and tilt adjustment. The structure of the manipulator should also allow ample insertion angle (−32° to 24° about normal from the patient’s skin [20]) for flexible needle trajectories, particularly for larger tumors (>Ø3 cm) that require ablation at multiple sites.

2) Size and weight: The main body of the robot should be compact enough to enable flexible mounting on the patient body inside the MRI bore. The robot footprint should be smaller than the standard imaging loop coil (Part No. 10185554, Siemens Medical Solutions, USA) with Ø110 mm diameter. Furthermore, multiple fixtures with robots should be considered for the need of multiple incisions in some cases. The robot should be lightweight to allow easy handling by the surgeon as well as minimizing the burden on the patient.

3) Positioning accuracy: In the case of liver interventions, the positioning accuracy of the probe tip should be less than 3 mm [28] according to the minimum size of tumor suitable for RF ablation.

4) MR-safety: The system must be constructed from materials that fulfil the MRI compatibility standard set by ASTM F2503-13 [29]. This restricts material selection to those that are not conductive, metallic or magnetic. Also, the robot operation should not cause electromagnetic (EM) interference that may deteriorate MR imaging or instrument tracking.

B. Overview of the Robotic Platform

The proposed robotic platform is designed to be mounted directly on the patient or on a loop coil in order to mitigate the effects of patient movement. Three attaching pads with adhesive and a fasten belt are used as anchorage (Fig. 3).

The robot is compact (Ø108 mm × 115 mm height) and lightweight (189 g), enabling flexible setup inside the confined...
MRI bore. The needle guide of the robot can be manipulated in 2 DoFs, including pitch and yaw around an RCM at the insertion point predetermined by the surgeon. The system provides semi-automated needle positioning with the core features: i) automatic needle orientation adjustment in a small motion range by a soft fluid-driven actuator; ii) passive needle holder manually operated by the surgeon for coarse orientation adjustment within a large ($\pm 30^\circ$) range; iii) granular jamming incorporated to ensure rigid fixture for needle insertion. This semi-automated actuation design with locking system can reduce the actuator requirements of motion range and output force, while keeping the precision of needle targeting. Compared to the fully automatic design that usually requires a larger size of robot (>200 mm length \(\times\) 200 mm width [22], [23]), the small size of our robot enables more flexibility and convenience in practice. This allows simultaneous setup of multiple robots on the body of the patient for needle targeting, which can shorten the operation time and scanning procedures for the scenarios requiring multiple needle insertion.

To minimize interference with MR imaging, the main structure of the robot is 3D-printed with biocompatible polymers (MED610, Stratasys Inc., USA). The remaining components are also made of non-conductive, non-metallic and non-ferromagnetic materials.

### C. Soft Fluid-Driven Actuator

The proposed robot incorporates a soft fluid-driven actuator [30] (Ø40 mm \(\times\) 10 mm height) for the fine adjustment of the needle guide. The fluidic chambers in the soft actuator is 3D-printed with polymers (Agilus 30, Stratasys Inc., USA). 2-DoF planar motion can be generated by the three soft chambers (Fig. 3), which are filled with distilled water. The chambers are connected through 10 m long pipelines to the master cylinders, which are actuated by electric motors. This hydraulic actuation approach [31], [32] features low transmission latency (160 ms on average under 1.1 Hz) and high stiffness (2.337 N/mm), which are validated by the experiments in Section IV.A and D. To achieve accurate and responsive transmission as well as flexible setup, the hydraulic pipelines are chosen as semi-rigid nylon (polycaprolactam 6) with inner diameter of 2 mm, referring to the results of previous study [31]. The soft actuator is connected to the needle guide, which can be manipulated within a range of $\pm 5^\circ$ in two directions for the fine adjustment. Although sacrificing the ability of full-range automated positioning, the actuator can retain small size with lower requirements for motion range and output force, which could also ensure safety by minimizing the potential damage to the patient upon any undue mechanical failure.

To obtain the angular position of the needle guide, two MR safe optical absolute rotary encoders (ZapFREE MR431, Micronor Inc., Camarillo, USA) with a resolution of 0.044$^\circ$ are incorporated. The positional information is also used for feedback control of the soft actuator with a PID controller. Its performance is evaluated experimentally in Section IV.B.

### D. Passive Needle Holder

During coarse adjustment of the needle guide, the surgeon will grip the robot by the passive holder as labeled in Fig. 3. The passive holder is nested between the outer and inner cover which works with the constraint ring to both constrain its axial motion and act as a friction-lock for the coarse adjustment once the locking slider is pushed into place. The desired needle guide orientation and corresponding optical encoder angles are calculated based on the target selected from preliminary MR imaging. Fiber-optic lighting transmits light signals to the surgeon to indicate that the needle guide orientation is within $\pm 5^\circ$ of the desired orientation (the maximum angle achievable by fine adjustment) and that manual locking can be performed. Note that the outer cover, inner cover, and passive holder are concentric and move along a spherical surface, ensuring an RCM throughout the positioning procedures.

### E. Granular Jamming Locking of Needle Guide

Alongside manual locking of the passive needle holder, granular jamming is integrated into the robot design to provide a second level of locking once fine adjustment with the soft actuator is complete. A small pack of granules sealed in an elastic cover encloses the needle guide, allowing stiffness modulation when a vacuum is induced. The granules are 2 mm diameter PVC spheres that have sufficient smoothness so as to not greatly hinder the needle guide movement when at rest.

### F. Targeting Kinematics

The schematic diagram of the robot is depicted in the Fig. 4a-b. A coordinate frame \{\(\Psi_o\)\} is defined at the RCM point \(p_o\), about which the needle guide can revolve. When the actuator is at rest, the actuation block is at the center point \(p_N\), and the needle guide is perpendicular to the plane of the soft actuator. A coordinate frame \{\(\Psi_A\)\} is defined at the point \(p_N\) and moves with the actuator.

The initial pose of the needle guide is along the Z-axis of the frame \{\(\Psi_o\)\}. After the coarse adjustment of the robot, the angular positions of the needle guide with respect to (w.r.t.) the coordinates X and Y of \{\(\Psi_o\)\} can be denoted as \(\alpha_x\) and \(\alpha_y\), respectively. The rotation matrix of the coordinate frame \{\(\Psi_A\)\} w.r.t. \{\(\Psi_o\)\} can be described with ZYX Euler angles:

\[
R(\phi) = R_z(\phi) \cdot R_y(\theta) \cdot R_x(\gamma)
\]

where the angles \(\phi = [\phi\ \theta\ \gamma]^T\) represent rotations defined w.r.t. the frame \{\(\Psi_o\)\} along the Z-, Y- and X-axis respectively. The values of each angle can be found as \(\phi = 0, \theta = \alpha_x, \gamma = \alpha_y\) according to the geometric relations.
Then the position of $p_N$ can be obtained as:

$$p_N = R(\phi) \cdot p_{NO},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $p_{NO}$ is the center coordinate of the actuator at the initial pose. In the same way, the coordinates of the soft chamber base points $p_{C1}, p_{C2}$ and $p_{C3}$ can also be obtained.

For an array of inputs from the actuator chambers $q = [l_{C1}, l_{C2}, l_{C3}]^T$, the new position of the actuation block $p_A$ can be solved by the equation set:

$$l_{Ci} = \| p_A - p_{Ci} \|, \hspace{0.5cm} i = 1, 2, 3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The motion range of the chambers are $l_{C1}, l_{C2}, l_{C3} \in [5 \, \text{mm}, \, 15 \, \text{mm}]$. The point $p_A$ is kept within the X-Y plane of the frame $\{ \Psi_A \}$ by the constraint:

$$(p_A - p_N) \cdot (p_N - p_O) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Then the orientation of the needle guide $r$ can be denoted by:

$$r = \frac{p_O - p_A}{\| p_O - p_A \|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Given a needle insertion depth $d_i$ defined from the joint $p_A$ to the target, position of the needle tip $p_T$ can be calculated as:

$$p_T = p_A + d_i \cdot r.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

To solve the inverse kinematics based on the desired tip position $p_T$, co-registration between image coordinate system and the robot is executed first. The robot is assumed to have been manually adjusted and fixed, and the needle guide orientation is within the motion range of the actuator. The desired needle orientation $r_d$ can be expressed as:

$$r_d = \frac{p_T - p_O}{\| p_T - p_O \|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Then the desired coordinate of actuation block $p_A$ can be obtained by solving the equation set of (4) and (5), with the conditions that $p_A$ is located simultaneously in the direction of $r_d$ and on the X-Y plane of $\{ \Psi_A \}$. In the end, the desired inputs of each chamber $q = [l_{C1}, l_{C2}, l_{C3}]^T$ can be solved by substituting $p_A$ into (3). The desired encoder angles $\alpha_x$ and $\alpha_y$ can also be calculated based on the needle orientation $r_d$.

Fig. 4. (a) Schematic diagram showing the DoFs of the needle guide. Its initial (vertical) and inclined pose are both constrained by the RCM at the incision point. Angles $\alpha_x$ and $\alpha_y$ about the X- and Y-axis of the frame $\{ \Psi_A \}$, respectively, denote the needle guide orientation. (b) Schematic of the soft actuator with the length of $l_0$ fluidic chamber denoted by $l_{C1}$.

Fig. 5. (a) Experimental setup for stiffness tests; (b) The relationship between force and displacement showing the transmission stiffness of the granular jamming, soft actuator, and the assembled robot; (c) Transmission stiffness of the soft actuator in the three directions. Three pushing directions (arrow) with 30° intervals to evaluate the stiffness of soft actuator.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. Transmission Stiffness

To verify the robot's ability to resist external disturbances, experiments were conducted to test the stiffness of: **i)** the soft actuator; **ii)** the locking system using granular jamming; **iii)** a combination of the soft actuator and granular jamming. During the test, the robot frame was fixed at the initial pose and the coarse adjustment part was locked. The soft actuator was connected to the master cylinders, which were actuated by electrical DC motors. 10 m long pipelines filled with distilled water were adopted to connect the slave soft actuator chambers and the master cylinders. For the test **i)** and **iii)**, the soft actuator chambers were preloaded by the master cylinders with fixed stroke. For the test **ii)**, the soft actuator was detached to ensure no influence on the stiffness of granular jamming. During the experiments, a rod is attached on a sliding platform and advanced horizontally to push the needle guide (Fig. 5a). A high precision force sensor (Nano17, ATI Industrial Automation) with 5-Nm sensitivity was used to measure the axial force generated on the force sensor (Nano17, ATI Industrial Automation) with 5-Nm. The displacement at the contact point was measured by a 6-DoF EM tracking coil (Aurora, NDI Medical, Canada). The advancement of the rod was performed repeatedly for 10 cycles for each test.

Fig. 5b-c illustrate the force-displacement plots of the soft actuator and granular jamming. The data was linearly fitted using least-square regression. For the soft actuator alone, the maximum stiffness is 1.028 N/mm with force applied along the direction 1 (Fig. 5c). The overall stiffness of the system can
reach 2.337 N/mm by introducing the granular jamming locking, which contributes to 127.3% increase of stiffness compared with the soft actuator alone. Note that the median interaction force between the needle and porcine liver \textit{ex vivo} is less than 0.6 N with an insertion speed of 5 mm/s [33]. Compared to the stiffness of needle guide with locking, which is 2.337 N/mm, such interaction force can only cause less than 1 mm displacement to the needle tip. It demonstrates the fluid-driven soft actuator with the granular jamming locking system can provide sufficient stiffness to maintain the pose of needle while interacting with tissue.

### B. Feedback Control of the Fluid-Driven Actuator

A manipulation task was conducted to evaluate the feedback control performance of the soft fluid-driven actuator (Fig. 6a). The coarse adjustment was locked, such that the needle guide can only be manipulated by the soft actuator. The position of the actuation block and the angular position of the needle guide can be converted to each other according to the kinematics in Section III.D. The two absolute MR safe optical encoders were used to record the angular positions of the needle guide along two perpendicular axes for feedback control. A simple PID controller was implemented to control the orientation of the needle guide within the motion range of actuator. Fig. 6b shows the angular positional error between the target and current poses during the task. The needle guide can finally be manipulated and kept around the target orientation steadily with an error less than 0.2°, which also demonstrates the capability of precise actuation by the soft actuator.

### C. Needle Targeting Accuracy

A needle targeting task was carried out to validate the manipulation accuracy of the robotic system. The robot was fixed on a plastic board and placed above the plane containing target points. The separation between the two planes is around 100 mm, which is a typical depth of liver tumor beneath skin. Two sets of targets, with 10 points in each set, are located at two circular ranges (Ø20 mm): \textbf{a}) a range right below the RCM point of the robot; and \textbf{b}) a range that the coarse adjustment part needs to be revolved manually by 30° for needle targeting. These targets coordinates were recorded by the same EM tracking system as in Section IV-A and registered with the coordinate system of the robot. A phantom needle was used for targeting, with a 6-DoF EM tracking sensor attached at the needle tip to acquire the position.

During the experiment, the orientation of the needle guide was controlled towards the desired orientation. Once pointing to the target, the needle was manually advanced through the needle guide. Then the tip position was measured when the robot was at rest. Such targeting trial was repeated 5 times for each point. The mean error alongside its standard deviation of the measurements was evaluated and summarized in Table I, including the distance from the target to the needle tip and the target to the needle axis. The accuracy is within 0.9 mm and its variation is less than 0.35 mm, demonstrating the accurate needle targeting performance conducted by the fine adjustment of the soft actuator.

### D. Positional Frequency Response

The dynamic performance of the soft actuator with hydraulic transmission was evaluated with a frequency response test. During the experiment, the soft actuator without external loading was set to follow a periodic sinusoidal input from the DC motor through 10 m hydraulic pipelines under open-loop control. It corresponds to a repeated linear motion with an amplitude of 5 mm and frequency from 0.1 Hz to 3 Hz at the soft actuator side. The positional output of the soft actuator was captured by an EM tracking coil for the bode plot. The experimental result is shown in Fig. 7. The bandwidth of the actuator is 1.1 Hz, which is the cut-off frequency of –3 dB magnitude. The phase lag is kept less than 50° within the bandwidth. The transmission latency, or time delay from the input to output, is measured as 160 ms on average with the frequency less than 1.1 Hz.

### E. MR-Based Tracking Test

MR-based wireless tracking [34] is utilized for measurement of the needle pose under MRI scans. The proposed wireless and miniaturized marker (Fig. 8b–c) integrates circuits specialized for amplifying the MR signal. Therefore, high signal to noise ratio is maintained even with low flip angles (~1°), which allows localization of markers against anatomical backgrounds as shown in Fig. 8a. Wireless marker positions can be measured.
using the tracking pulse-sequence [35], enabling real-time acquisition up to 30 Hz [34]. The markers signal can be excited with a non-selective RF-pulse (alpha = 1), and then projected along three orthogonal axes respectively with corresponding gradient echo readouts. Spoiler gradients can be also included to dephase the magnetization from the background object. Furthermore, with the use of RTHawk (HeartVista, Inc.) [34], the scanning raw data can be streamed out with low latency (<10 ms), thus offering real-time positional feedback for closed-loop robotics control. As shown in Fig. 8d, the peaks of markers can also be localized along each axis. The true marker positions can be solved by imposing geometry constraints, e.g., distances between each marker pairs, on the possible combination of 1D marker coordinates.

F. MR Compatibility Test

The MRI-compatibility test was conducted to evaluate the EM interference of the robot to the MR images. During the test, the slave part of the robot was operated inside a 1.5 T MRI scanner (SIGNA, General Electric Company, USA) at the isocenter of the scanner (Fig. 9b). The T1-weighted fast field echo (FFE) and T2-weighted turbo spin echo (TSE) sequences were adopted to obtain the MR images. Fig. 9a shows the resultant MR images of the phantom by T2-weighted TSE under four different conditions: i) Control: only phantom placed in the scanner; ii) Static: robot involved and remained power OFF; iii) Powered: robot kept still, but with the hydraulic and electric power ON; iv) In motion: robot in operation. No observable image artifact was found in the MR images under different robot operation scenarios. The control condition served as the baseline for evaluation. The lower part of Fig. 9a also illustrates the results of SNR analysis under the two imaging sequences. The maximum SNR loss in the successive conditions was found within 2% only, even with the robot in full motion.

V. Conclusion

In this study we present the design, fabrication, and experimental validation of an MRI-guided robot for percutaneous needle procedures. The system provides semi-automated needle positioning, thus interactively guiding the surgeon to adjust the needle towards the target lesions, followed by automatic fine adjustment through closed-loop control of the soft robotic actuator. The compact and lightweight design allows not only the direct mounting of the robot to the body of the patient, but also simultaneous needle targeting at multiple locations with several robots alongside the loop coils. Granular jamming was also implemented to lock the needle position in place once after the fine automated adjustments have been made. The combined stiffness of the granular jamming and soft actuator was experimentally found to reach 2.337 N/mm. A needle insertion test was conducted, in which a targeting accuracy <0.9 mm can be achieved. Note that the positioning accuracy test undertaken in this study is only indicative of the needle guide targeting itself, without involving factors such as needle-tissue interaction force, patient movement, or MRI-related effects including inherent image distortion and resolution limitations. In our MRI-compatibility test, only negligible levels of EM interference were observed even while the robot was fully operated with granular jamming actuation and encoding. Apart from the actuator encoding, we have also investigated the use of MR-based wireless tracking markers that can feedback the needle guide pose in real-time in MR image coordinates.

In future work, we will design a new controller to “fuse” the optical actuator encoding with MR real-time tracking of the needle guide to obtain the pose of the tracked needle tip in the image coordinates, instead of solely by calculation from the robot kinematics. Additionally, we aim to test the robot on liver/abdomen phantoms under MRI guidance to validate the proposed surgical workflow and more thoroughly analyze robot performance under mimicked surgical scenarios. Although robotic assistance alone is a large focus for researchers aiming to improve needle-based procedures, we will have a focus on integrating other technologies that can complement the automation and precision offered by robotics. Notable examples include haptics for tele-operative needle insertion [36]–[38] and augmented reality for guiding needle placement and insertion paths [39], [40].

The successful integration of MRI-guided, robot-assisted percutaneous ablation would present a timely improvement over current first-line treatments for HCC. With the possibility for integrating real-time MR-based needle tracking and temperature feedback from intra-op MR thermometry, several key points can be addressed: i) enhanced ablation management of tumors located close to vessels and organs such that thermal damage is

---

Fig. 8. (a) Six wireless RF markers revealed under MRI. Labeled signal intensities are measured at the red crosses; (b) Virtual configuration of the needle guide augmented on the markers; (c) Actual prototype of needle guide integrated with the six RF markers; (d) Six RF signal peaks in 1-D projections tracking along the orthogonal axes.

Fig. 9. (a) MR images of an MRI phantom put beside the robot showing the negligible EM interference in four operating conditions. The normalized SNR results are summarized in the table. (b) Experimental setup of the robot in the 1.5T MRI scanner.
confined to the complete safety margins; ii) improved ablation probe access to occluded lesions, minimizing the need for invasive open surgical approaches that may prolong post-operative recovery; iii) reduced recurrence rate of HCC by providing complete tumor ablation, thus reducing complications related to repeat procedures.
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