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The development of stereotaxy can be dated back 100 years. However, most stereotactic neurosurgery still relies on the workflow established about half a century ago. With the arrival of computer-assisted navigation, numerous studies to improve the neurosurgical technique have been reported, leading to frameless and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided/verified techniques. Frameless stereotaxy has been proven to be comparable to frame-based stereotaxy in accuracy, diagnostic yield, morbidity, and mortality. The incorporation of intraoperative MRI guidance in frameless techniques is considered an appealing method that could simplify workflow by reducing coregistration errors in different imaging modalities, conducting general anesthesia, and monitoring the surgical progress. In light of this situation, manually operated platforms have emerged for MRI-guided frameless procedures. However, these procedures could still be complicated and time-consuming because of the intensive manual operation required. To further simplify the procedure and enhance accuracy, robotics was introduced. Robots have superior capabilities over humans in certain tasks, especially those that are limited by space, accuracy demanding, intensive, and tedious. Clinical benefits have been shown in the recent surge of robot-assisted surgical interventions. We review the state-of-the-art intraoperative MRI-guided robotic platforms for stereotactic neurosurgery. To improve the surgical workflow and achieve greater clinical penetration, 3 key enabling techniques are proposed with emphasis on their current status, limitations, and future trends.
can still come from various sources: 1) lead time between scanning and surgery; 2) mechanical error of the frame; 3) number of sampling fiducial points for registration; and 4) intrinsic error in image fusion. Once the dura is opened, brain shift/deformation inevitably causes changes of both critical brain structures and target positions. During surgery, brain deformation occurs in response to many factors of surgical manipulation and anesthesia procedures such as intracranial pressure changes, postural and gravitational forces, tissue removal, administration of pharmaceuticals, and edema caused by surgery. Therefore, using only preoperative images to form the roadmap seems to be the major disadvantage in the current workflow for stereotaxy.

In the context of current neurosurgical challenges, the incorporation of advances in real-time visualization and precise manipulation is imminent for brain shift compensation and workflow simplification. MRI possesses several advantages over other imaging modalities (e.g., ultrasonography or CT) for intraoperative guidance, because of its high sensitivity to intracranial pathologic/physiologic changes and ability to visualize soft tissue in high-contrast images without ionizing radiation. Fast MRI sequences (such as radial fast imaging using low angle shot sequence with a temporal resolution of 20–30 milliseconds) have been widely available in MRI facilities and have already enabled surgical guidance when involving soft tissue deformation. The field is ready for an MRI-guided robot to find its way into more complex procedures, which would provide precise stereotactic guidance to deliver image-guided therapy, such as device implantation or tissue ablation. In this review, we provide a discussion regarding the state-of-the-art apparatus and MRI-safe/conditional robots for stereotactic neurosurgery, as well as the key enabling techniques with emphasis on their status, limitations, and future trends.

**NEEDS FOR CURRENT APPARATUS AND MRI-GUIDED ROBOTIC SYSTEMS**

The introduction of computer-based image navigation systems has allowed intraoperative guidance based on preoperative images since the 1990s (the evolution of devices in stereotactic neurosurgery is shown in Figure 1). These advances in both imaging and tracking technologies enable frameless stereotaxy to be increasingly used. Frameless techniques use landmarks (e.g., facial contours) or fiducial markers to replace the frame for registration between images and operation space. Particularly, its incorporation with intraoperative MRI guidance can optimize the procedure by providing real-time positional information of imaged brain/surgical instruments, compensating brain shift, reducing registration errors, monitoring surgical progress, and performing MRI-based verification instead of physiologic assessment for DBS.

Several clinical trials have been conducted using manually operated MR-safe/conditional stereotactic platforms (e.g., NexFrame [Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA] and SmartFrame [ClearPoint, MRI Interventions Inc., Irvine, California, USA]). The ClearPoint system (Figure 2A) has been deployed in several therapeutic approaches (e.g.,...
electrode placement,\textsuperscript{16} focal ablation,\textsuperscript{17} and direct drug delivery\textsuperscript{18}). A clinical study\textsuperscript{19} was performed using the ClearPoint system in DBS for 27 adult patients with movement disorder. All procedures were performed with interventional MRI scanners (1.5 and 3 T), and patients could be moved between the isocenter and the bore edge. The aiming device (SmartFrame) could be adjusted to the intended trajectory by surgeons remotely, when the patient was at the isocenter. In this study, no case required more than 2 passes. The radial errors observed were $0.68 \pm 0.42$ mm (1.5-T procedures) and $0.78 \pm 0.38$ mm (3-T procedures). The procedure duration was counted between the initial skin incision and final skin closure. It was approximately 3.5–4 hours for bilateral procedures, 217.1 ± 33.3 minutes (1.5-T procedures), and 247.6 ± 44.7 minutes (3-T procedures). Another single-center study\textsuperscript{20} reported the clinical outcomes of using the ClearPoint system for bilateral DBS therapy. Twenty-six patients with advanced Parkinson disease and motor fluctuation were enrolled, and 20 patients were followed for 12 months. Symptom severity was evaluated using the change in Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale Part III off-medication score as the primary outcome variable. The mean Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale Part III off-medication score was improved from $40.75 \pm 10.9$ to $24.35 \pm 8.8$ ($P = 0.001$). On-medication time without troublesome dyskinetics increased $5.2 \pm 2.6$ hours per day ($P = 0.0002$). Mean targeting error was $0.6 \pm 0.3$ mm.

However, the procedures can still be complicated and time-consuming. Patients need to be transferred between the operating room and MRI suite for scanning/manual manipulation (e.g., needle insertion), which greatly increases the operation time and disrupts the surgical rhythm. In addition, these systems still require the meticulous attention of surgeons to detail the hardware application. These challenges have directed increasing attention to the development of remotely controlled manipulators and further facilitate the translation of robotics technology into neurosurgery. Robots have superior capabilities over humans in certain tasks, especially in those that are demand accuracy and are space limited, exhaustive, and repetitive. The clinical benefits have been shown in the recent surge of robot-assisted surgical interventions.\textsuperscript{21–23}

The first MRI-compatible manipulator toward stereotactic neurosurgical applications was built by Masamune et al.\textsuperscript{24} and tested in vitro. Chinzei et al.\textsuperscript{25} developed a surgical assist robot (Figure 2B) based on a low-field interventional scanner (i.e., Signa SP 0.5T [GE Medical Systems, Boston, Massachusetts, USA]). It is the first to be integrated with an optically linked frameless stereotactic tracking system.\textsuperscript{26} However, surgeons have to operate inside the MRI room. The confined workspace of interventional MRI may affect their performance, especially during long and complicated operations. Moreover, the use of open or large bore scanners often comes with the sacrifice of image quality. This imaging can be further degraded by the metallic components used in the robot.

NeuroArm/SYMBIS surgical system (Deerfield Imaging, Minnetonka, Minnesota, USA) is an MRI-compatible robotic system for teleoperated microsurgery and stereotactic brain biopsy.\textsuperscript{27,28} It consists of two $7 \pm 1$ degrees of freedom (DoFs) manipulators semi-actively controlled by a remote workstation.\textsuperscript{29} Hand tremor filter, movement scaling, and augmented force feedback are adopted. Microsurgery is generally performed by these 2
### Table 1. Existing Robotic Systems for Magnetic Resonance Imaging–Guided Neurosurgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emerging Platforms</th>
<th>Degree of Freedom</th>
<th>Number of End Effector</th>
<th>Actuator*</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Human–Machine Interface</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NeuroArm/SYMBIS (Deerfield Imaging, Minnetonka, Minnesota, USA)</td>
<td>7+1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Submillimeter</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Teleoperated microsurgery and stereotaxy; only 1 manipulator can fit into the magnet bore; haptic feedback; three-dimensional image reconstruction for navigation; phase: FDA approved, commercial</td>
<td>Sutherland et al., 200335; Louw et al., 200436; Motkoski et al., 201613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuroBlate (Monteris Medical, Inc., Plymouth, Minnesota, USA)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.21 mm</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Laser ablation; patient under general anesthesia; continuous MR thermography acquisition; phase: FDA approved, commercial</td>
<td>Mohammadi et al., 201433; Manijila et al., 201637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic MRI-compatible needle driver (Vanderbilt University, USA)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.11 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Transforaminal ablation; precurved concentric tube; 3-T closed-bore MRI scanner; phase: clinical trial</td>
<td>Comber et al., 201638; Comber et al., 201639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-guided surgical manipulator (AIST-MITI, Japan &amp; BWH, Harvard University, USA)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.17 mm/0.17 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Navigation and axisymmetric tool placement; 0.5-T open MRI scanner; pointing device only; phase: in vivo test with a swine brain</td>
<td>Chinzei et al., 200139; Koseki et al., 200440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-compatible stereotactic neurosurgery robot (Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.06 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Needle-based neural interventions; mounted at the MRI table; SNR reduction in imaging &lt;10.3%; phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Li et al., 201515; Nycz et al., 201714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoscale neurosurgery robot (Georgia Institute of Technology, USA)</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>About 1 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Tumor resection, intracerebral hemorrhage evacuation; skull-mounted; phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Ho et al., 201541; Kim et al., 201742; Cheng et al., 201743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR-safe bilateral stereotactic robot (The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.75 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Bilateral stereotactic neurosurgery; skull-mounted; MR-safe/induce minimal imaging interference (SNR reduction &lt;2.5%); phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Guo et al., 201816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-imager compatible needle-guide robot (Johns Hopkins University, USA)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.81 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>General needle-based interventions; table-mounted; intraoperative MRI scanner (iMRIS); phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Jun et al., 201815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-compatible needle insertion manipulator (University of Tokyo, Japan)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Needle placement; 0.5-T MRI scanner; phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Masamune et al., 199524; Miyata et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscope manipulator (AIST, Japan)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>About 0.12 mm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Endoscope manipulation for transnasal neurosurgery; vertical field open MRI; large imaging noise caused by ultrasonic motors; phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Koseki et al., 200213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telerobotic system for MRI-guided neurosurgery (California State University, USA &amp; University of Toronto, Canada)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P/H</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Brain biopsy; 1.5-T MRI scanner; mounted at the surgical table; phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Raoufi et al., 200818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open MRI compatible robot (Beihang University, China)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Biopsy and brachytherapy; 0.3-T intraoperative MRI scanner; phase: research prototype</td>
<td>Hong et al., 200819</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Actuator: E, nonmagnetic electric actuator, such as piezoelectric motor or ultrasonic motor; P, pneumatic actuator; H, hydraulic actuator.

† A flexible continuum robot, of which the degrees of freedom depend on the number of segments.

‡ Shape memory alloy spring-based actuators remotely driving the manipulator via pulling tendons.

FDA, Food and Drug Administration; MR, magnetic resonance; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SNR, signal-to-noise ratio.
manipulators outside the magnet bore, whereas stereotaxy is conducted within the bore using a single MRI-compatible robotic arm. This arm is directly attached to the magnet bore (Figure 2C) to provide a constant spatial relationship with the isocenter of the magnet and therefore the patient’s disease. A study of the NeuroArm system used in 56 patients was reported, primarily for the treatment of central nervous system neoplasia and cavernous angioma. A case study showed that the total duration of glioma surgery was about 33 minutes excluding craniotomy and wound closure. In another clinical study, 22 patient cases were treated using the NeuroArm, involving 10 meningioma, 9 glioma, 2 acoustic schwannoma, and 1 brain abscess. All procedures required general anesthetic and craniotomy. After partial dissection of the disease, the NeuroArm system was then brought into the surgical field. Working at the master console, surgeons were able to telemanipulate tools within the small surgical corridors, coagulate vessels to control bleeding, and aspirate.

The Monteris stereotactic platform (Figure 2D) is an MRI-based system for Minimally Invasive Robotic Laser Therapy. It permits surgeons to remotely control the NeuroBlate laser probe (translation and rotation) driven by a 2-DoF piezomotor-actuated robotic device. The lasering portion at different directions can be planned and controlled via the computer workstation under MR thermography guidance, ensuring maximum coverage of the prescribed thermal injury. This is a preferred feature for contoured ablation of irregular-shaped targets. Once ablation is complete on a given point/slice, the software can robotically advance the laser probe to the next location, begin MRI thermal imaging, plan ablation, then again rotate and fire the laser. However, the orientation of the laser probe is fixed during the surgery by a separate stereotactic frame (AXiS stereotactic miniframe). Thus, patients requiring multiple trajectories may be transferred back to the operating room for probe removal, frame relocation/realignment, or possible drilling of a new burr hole.

Clinical outcomes using the NeuroBlate system for difficult-to-access high-grade gliomas were reported in a multicenter study. It evaluated 24 patients with glioblastoma and 10 with anaplastic glioma who underwent laser interstitial thermal therapy (LITT) with a main focus on progression-free survival using precise volumetric analysis. LITT was delivered as upfront in 19 cases and as salvage in 16 cases. After 7.2 months follow-up, 71% of cases showed progression and 34% died. Median progression-free survival was 5.1 months. Thirteen cases met the following 2 criteria: 1) <0.05 cm³ tumor volume not covered by the 43°C-for-2-minutes thermal damage threshold line; 2) <0.15 cm³ tumor volume not covered by the 43°C-for-10-minutes thermal damage threshold line. Promising results showed LITT for patients with high-grade glioma was a safe and efficient treatment method.

A research prototype (Figure 2E) developed by Fischer et al. was designed to perform needle-based neural interventions inside the MRI bore. The system features 7 DoFs driven by piezoelectric ultrasonic motors, in which a 2-DoF needle driver was recently implemented for rotating and inserting an interstitial ultrasound-based ablation probe. The robot mechanism is based on the functionality and kinematic structure of the conventional stereotactic frame (e.g., Leksell frame). A laboratory-based study reported its accuracy of 1.37 ± 0.06 mm in tip position and 0.79° ± 0.41° in orientation. However, the signal-to-noise ratio reduction in imaging reached 10.3% when the needle driver was running. Enhanced shielding of cables and direct current power lines of robot may be essential to improve the imaging quality and obviate relevant concerns before its next stage of development.

**KEY ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES OF MRI-GUIDED ROBOTIC SYSTEMS**

Despite the emergence of many MRI-guided neurosurgical robotic systems (as listed in Table 1), only a few are in widespread clinical use. The common technical challenges in conjunction with the use of robotics in MRI include MRI compatibility, manipulation within the confined workspace of scanner bore, real-time imaging with sufficient quality for...
targets/instruments localization, and navigation. Most previous studies addressed only part of these challenges and still did not significantly improve the surgical workflow, in which longer procedure time (i.e., with frequent patient transfers) probably leads to high costs. The surgical costs for patients could also involve MRI scans, the use of robot/MRI-compatible instruments, and the extra labor for robot operation.\textsuperscript{50} This high cost may be the vital factor that restricts the wide application of robotics technology in health care.\textsuperscript{51} We propose 3 key enabling technologies for high-performance intraoperative MRI-guided robotic platforms. It may simplify the workflow as shown in Figure 3B and potentially reduce the surgical costs. Microelectrode recording and/or macrostimulation for DBS are still technically possible in our proposed procedure if the patient is awake.

**Intraoperative Image Registration for Real-Time Stereotactic Planning**

Image registration enables precise localization of the preoperatively segmented critical/target regions on the rapidly acquired intraoperative image to establish/update the stereotactic guidance accordingly. Many commercial navigation systems use only rigid registration to realign both sets of images. However, it cannot compensate for any nonlinear image discrepancy resulting from brain deformation and MRI distortion (e.g., severe misalignment [\(\sim 10-30\) mm\textsuperscript{52}]) caused by brain shift after craniotomy (Figure 4). Thus nonrigid image registration has been proposed to mitigate such nonlinear misalignment. In particular, biomechanical finite-element–based registration schemes are developed to estimate and predict the extension of any brain shift of different regions.\textsuperscript{53-55} Apart from nonlinear image discrepancy caused by tissue deformation, spatial distortion of MRIs would also hamper the accuracy in MRI-guided stereotactic surgery.\textsuperscript{57} The cause of MR distortion is multiformal and incalculable. Aside from base (static) field inhomogeneity, chemical shift, and susceptibility artifacts, the nonlinearity of the B\textsubscript{1} gradient field contributes most to such distortion. It has been reported that the spatial distortion can be as much as 25 mm at the perimeter of an uncorrected 1.5-T MRI, with the error still remaining within the 1% range (typically \(\sim 4\) mm) even after correction using standard gradient calibration (e.g., grid phantoms).\textsuperscript{58-59} This error is significant regarding the stringent accuracy requirement in stereotaxy. Worse still, the distortion is exacerbated under higher magnetic field inhomogeneity that presents in 3-T scanners.57 The combined effect of these variables often results in complex and nondeterministic image distortion.\textsuperscript{60}

Considering such gradient field nonlinearity, gradient-based excitation sequences were set back despite its widespread usefulness. Nonrigid registration schemes can correct the distortion in a gradient-based image and retain any useful anatomic information, by registering the distorted image to a standard MRI (e.g., T2 turbo spin echo images, which show few image distortions). Recent research has shown that significant (>10%) improvement in accuracy has been achieved by resolving such misalignment.\textsuperscript{61} However, complex computation involved in nonrigid registration may impede its efficacy when used in intraoperative scenarios. This factor motivates the development of high-performance registration schemes using scalable computation architectures such as graphic processing units, field-programmable gate arrays, or computational clusters. Recent studies\textsuperscript{62-65} have shown substantial computation speed-up, in which the registration process can be accomplished within seconds, even with a large data set in a 3D image (\(\sim 27\) M voxels).

**MR-Based 3D Positional Tracking of Stereotactic Instruments**

Real-time tracking provides in situ positional feedback of stereotactic instruments inside the MRI scanner. Not only does it act as feedback data to close the control loop of a robotic system, it also allows the operator to visualize the instrument position/configuration with reference to the brain roadmap. Restricted space inside the scanner bore and complicated electromagnetic (EM) shielding have limited

---

**Figure 4. (Upper row)** Brain deformation before and after the craniotomy.\textsuperscript{53} **(Lower row)** Geometric distortion in diffusion images.\textsuperscript{54} Note that large discrepancies between preoperative (Pre-op) and intraoperative (Intra-op) images are observed in the overlaid image at the last column. EPI, echo-planar imaging. T2W, T2-weighted.
the application of external tracking devices (e.g., stereo-optical cameras). Passive tracking (Figure 5, upper row) is the most commonly used technique. It can be adopted under various MR field strengths. Passive markers (e.g., capsules, fish oil, and vitamin E) incorporated with the stereotactic instruments are visible in MRIs by changing the image contrast. Generally, the configuration of marker system needs to be specially designed for ready identification, otherwise it may be invalid when markers are in proximity or out of the imaging slice. However, it is still challenging to perform localization of passive markers automatically in real time. The visualization of these markers relies on 2D image reconstruction. This process is time-consuming (e.g., 9440 seconds required for acquisition of a T2-weighted MRI slice with field of volume of 220 × 220 mm³) and may not be reliable because of the intrinsically distorted MRIs.

To resolve the problems in passive tracking, much research attention has recently been drawn to MR-based tracking techniques. An active marker (Figure 5, lower row) is a small coil individually connected with the scanner receiver. It serves as an antenna and actively responds to the MR gradient field along 3 principal directions. Without image reconstruction, they can be rapidly localized using one-dimensional projection. This localization is automatic, because the marker can be independently identified through its own receiving channel. However, resonating radiofrequency waves and electric energy stored in conductive structures may induce heat and require delicate control. In light of this complication, semi-active tracking systems without any electric wiring connected to the scanner have been developed (Figure 5, middle row). This wireless marker acts as a radiofrequency receiver to pick up the MR gradient signal, as well as an inductor to resonate with the signal transmitted to the scanner receiver. We can foresee that these MR-based tracking coils could be implemented in stereotactic neurosurgery to realize real-time instrument tracking. Promising results have been reported in an MR-active tracking system for MRI-guided brachytherapy. Three active microcoil markers (1.5 × 8 mm², Figure 5) are mounted on a brachytherapy stylet (with diameter of 0.16 mm). Both tracking and imaging are in the same coordinate system, so the stylet configuration can be virtually augmented on MRIs in situ. Stylet localization could be achieved with low latency <1.5 milliseconds at high resolution (0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³) and a high sampling rate of 40 Hz.

**MRI-Compatible Actuation for Precise Robot Manipulation**

Safety and accuracy are particularly demanding for instrument manipulation in stereotactic neurosurgery, which involves precise coordination of at least 2 DoFs (e.g., rotation and insertion) and requires an average accuracy within 2 mm. The underlying actuators are a determinant component regarding the performance of robotic manipulation. The strong magnetic field generated by MRJ scanners prevents the use of conventional high-performance EM-powered actuators. This situation poses a strong incentive to develop motors that are safe and compatible with the MRI environment. Nonmagnetic electric actuation (e.g., piezoelectric/ultrasonic motors powered by high-frequency electric current) have been extensively applied for intervention MRI applications. Such motors are usually small (e.g., 40.5 × 25.7 × 12.7 mm³, nanomotion motor; Figure 6, upper row),
and can provide fine movement at the nanoscale. However, the EM interference is inevitably induced by the high-frequency electric signals. Tailor-made EM shielding of motors and their electronic drivers may alleviate this interference, but the imaging quality is more or less deteriorated. Such motors may not operate during image acquisition or be placed near the target of interest (e.g., small DBS targets with diameter of Ø4–12 mm).

As a result, motors powered by intrinsically MR-safe energy sources (e.g., pressurized air/water flow) have been developed. This fluid-driven actuation usually generates only minimal EM interference and unobservable image artifacts. Figure 6 shows a general setup of a pneumatically actuated MRI robot. Long transmission pipes (e.g., 10 m) connect the robot with its control box, which are placed in the MRI and control rooms, respectively. Through such long transmission, torque/force outputs of air motors are usually limited as a result of the air compressibility. Also, it is difficult to reach millimeter level for positional accuracy as required in stereotaxy. In addition, air might not be tightly sealed and would be allowed to exhaust into the atmosphere, which would generate unfavorable noise and vibration.

In contrast, incompressible liquid (e.g., water and oil) in hydraulic motors may offer relatively high-performance mechanical transmission. They can typically render large power, as well as more accurate and responsive positional output. A common concern of using hydraulics is the discreet management of liquid leakage. Recent advances in sealing methods (e.g., rolling diaphragm-sealed) may offer reliable solutions and be readily translated into MR-safe actuation. The other constraint of hydraulic motors is their bulky size and the restricted workspace spared by the MRI head coil. Remote transmission between manipulator and actuator may tackle this problem by separately setting the actuation unit at a surgical table. For example, in the ClearPoint system (Figure 2A), 4 semi-rigid shafts connecting the trajectory guide and control knobs can transmit remote manipulation with submillimeter accuracy. The results indicate the promising incorporation of this remote actuation method in an MRI robot, enabling the use of high-performance hydraulic motors and also greatly reducing the weight/dimension of manipulators.

CONCLUSIONS

In this review, we have provided an outline of the emerging robotic platforms for MRI-guided stereotactic neurosurgery. Various robotic systems are introduced, allowing for enhanced dexterity, stability, and accuracy beyond manual operation. However, few are widely adopted. This situation may be because of the lack of effectiveness to compensate the high cost, including MRI scanning, use of MRI-compatible instruments/robot, and extra labor. To simplify the workflow and potentially enhance surgical outcomes, 3 key enabling techniques have been discussed, namely image registration, positional tracking, and MRI-compatible actuation. Because brain shift and MRI distortion are inevitable, nonrigid image registration may prove to be essential in future navigation systems. MR-based tracking can provide real-time positional...
data with high resolution and update rate. It would allow reliable online 3D tracking of stereotactic instruments. Hydraulic motors can contribute to precise manipulation by offering high-performance actuation under MRI, without adversely affecting the imaging quality. All technological developments will serve to exploit the information available and augment the surgeon’s abilities by providing enhanced visualization and manipulation. Continued efforts to incorporate these techniques and to evaluate the clinical benefits would be invaluable in the progression of MRI-guided robot-assisted stereotactic neurosurgery.
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